CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT DANVILLE, VA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

SEP 14	ZUII
JULIA C. DUDIJE BY: V DEPUTY CI	Y, CLERK LEFF

BARRY JONES,)	Civil Action No. 7:11-cv-00314
Plaintiff,)	
) .	•
v.)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
)	
BETTY AKERS, et al.,)	By: Hon, Jackson L. Kiser
Defendants.)	Senior United States District Judge

Barry Lynn Jones, a Virginia inmate proceeding <u>prose</u>, filed a civil rights complaint, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 with jurisdiction vested in 28 U.S.C. § 1343. Plaintiff names as defendants the New River Valley Regional Jail ("Jail"); Betty Akers, a nurse at the Jail; and Gerald McPeak, the Jail's Superintendent. Plaintiff complains that he does not receive sufficient medical care, in violation of the Eighth Amendment. This matter is before me for screening, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. After reviewing plaintiff's submissions, I dismiss without prejudice the claims against the Jail for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and terminate it as a defendant.

I must dismiss any action or claim filed by an inmate if I determine that the action or claim is frivolous or fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A(b)(1); 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c). The first standard includes claims based upon "an indisputably meritless legal theory," "claims of infringement of a legal interest which clearly does not exist," or claims where the "factual contentions are clearly baseless." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989). The second standard is the familiar standard for a motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), accepting the plaintiff's factual allegations as true. A complaint needs "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief" and sufficient "[f]actual allegations . . . to raise a right to relief above

the speculative level" <u>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</u>, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (internal quotation marks omitted). A plaintiff's basis for relief "requires more than labels and conclusions" <u>Id.</u> Therefore, the plaintiff must "allege facts sufficient to state all the elements of [the] claim." <u>Bass v. E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co.</u>, 324 F.3d 761, 765 (4th Cir. 2003).

However, determining whether a complaint states a plausible claim for relief is "a context-specific task that requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, __ U.S. __, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1950 (May 18, 2009). Thus, a court screening a complaint under Rule 12(b)(6) can identify pleadings that are not entitled to an assumption of truth because they consist of no more than labels and conclusions. Id. Although I liberally construe pro se complaints, Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972), I do not act as the inmate's advocate, sua sponte developing statutory and constitutional claims the inmate failed to clearly raise on the face of his complaint. See Brock v. Carroll, 107 F.3d 241, 243 (4th Cir. 1997) (Luttig, J., concurring); Beaudett v. City of Hampton, 775 F.2d 1274, 1278 (4th Cir. 1985). See also Gordon v. Leeke, 574 F.2d 1147, 1151 (4th Cir. 1978) (recognizing that district courts are not expected to assume the role of advocate for the pro se plaintiff).

To state a claim under § 1983, a plaintiff must allege "the violation of a right secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States, and must show that the alleged deprivation was committed by a person acting under color of state law." West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988). However, the Jail is not a "person" for purposes of § 1983. See McCoy v. Chesapeake Corr.

Ctr., 788 F. Supp. 890 (E.D. Va. Apr. 13, 1992) (reasoning jails are not appropriate defendants to a § 1983 action). See also Will v. Michigan Dep't of State Police, 491 U.S. 58, 70 (1989).

Accordingly, plaintiff presently fails to state a claim against the Jail upon which relief may be granted, and I terminate the Jail as a defendant. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 21.

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Memorandum Opinion and the accompanying Order to the plaintiff.

ENTER: This 4 day of September, 2011.

Senior United States District Judge